

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/883,040	06/15/2001	John C. Yarian	2000-0477. ORI	1281
7	590 02/13/2004		EXAMINER	
Mark J. Burns		KIM, PAUL L		
HAUGEN LAV		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
121 South Eigh	==	2857		
Minneapolis, I	MN 55402	DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/883,040		YARIAN, JOHN C	YARIAN, JOHN C.				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Paul L Kim	ı	2857	An				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the	cover sheet with th	ne correspondence add	dress				
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPARABLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no even ply within the statu d will apply and wi tte, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply b utory minimum of thirty (30) Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS ication to become ABAND	to e timely filed I days will be considered timely I from the mailing date of this co ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	November 20	<u>003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	is action is n	on-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<u> </u>								
Applicati	on Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the c	ccepted or b) e drawing(s) b ction is require	e held in abeyance. ed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CF	• •				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	=xaminer. No	te the attached Of	ice Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment	· (s)								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	В)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		·-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by UKAS.

With regard to claims 1-9 and 12, UKAS teaches a method for calibrating a weighing device comprising: setting the weighing device at a zero point (page 12, 4.3.3a), successively placing test loads on the device at a plurality of test positions located about a peripheral two-thirds of the surface of the device (page 12, 4.3.3d), the loads being measured at discrete instances (page 13, 5.2), determining weight error of the device at each of the testing positions (page 12, 4.3.3d), summing the measured weights into a summed error (page 14, 5.5), and comparing the summed error to a tolerance level (page 13, 4.3.4).

With regard to claim 10, UKAS teaches the scale being a class III (page 4, 2.1)

With regard to claims 11 and 13, UKAS teaches a method for calibrating a

weighing device comprising: setting the weighing device at a zero point (page 12,

4.3.3a), successively placing test loads on the device at a plurality of test positions

located about a peripheral two-thirds of the surface of the device (page 12, 4.3.3d), the

loads being measured at discrete instances (page 13, 5.2), determining weight error of
the device at each of the testing positions (page 12, 4.3.3d), summing the measured

Application/Control Number: 09/883,040 Page 3

Art Unit: 2857

weights into a summed error (page 14, 5.5), and comparing the summed error to a tolerance level (page 13, 4.3.4). UKAS also teaches the weighing device being leveled (page 6, 3.5.1), cleaned (page 4, 2.3), visually inspected (page 5, 3.1), and repaired (page 14, 5.5).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed November 19, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regard to arguments that the UKAS does not teach summing together each weight error, applicant's attention is directed to page 1\$, paragraph 4.2.2. The prior art clearly teaches the weight errors being summed. UKAS also teaches the error being compared to a tolerance level (page 12, 4.3.3c & 4.3.4).

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/883,040

Art Unit: 2857

•

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 5.

examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-2217.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 571-272-2216. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for

regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

PK

February 8, 2004

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CELL . . . Z-800